	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/944,749	ISHIZAKA, TOSHIHIRO
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Kambiz Zand	2132	

All Participants:

(1) *Kambiz Zand*.

(2) *William Frommer*.

Date of Interview: 29 *April 2005* ~~(08,29 Apr)~~

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: *2:30/4 PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
2-9 and 11-34

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1-It was agreed that if the phrase"said first arithmetic operation value having been recorded in an area which is not accessed by a file system of said recording medium" be incorporated into independent claims 20 and 27; the phrase "said data block being written twice into the area which is not accessed by said file system and having a field in which the number of updating times of said data block or a value showing that said data block is invalid is stored" into claim 11; and the phrase "wherein a list-type data structure in which said first arithmetic operation value of one or each of a plurality of said recorded files has been stored is recorded into an area which is not accessed by a file system; and

   wherein a list-type data structure in which said first arithmetic operation value of one or each of a plurality of said recorded files has been stored is recorded into an area which is not accessed by a file system, said list-type data structure including a data block having a field in which the number of updating times of said data block or a value showing that said data block is invalid is stored" into claim 28, then the claims would overcome prior art in light of other limitations in the claims and the meaning of the limitations set forth in the specification.

2- It was agreed that claims 16 and 21 be also amended since the part of their limitations is being incorporated into claims 11 and 20.

2-It was further agreed that claims 2-9, 11-15, 17-19, 22-26 and 30-34 be amended to correct typo errors..